

Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Crolet teaches an oversimplified theory of bone macrostructure which presumes there are uniform collagen fibrils per osteon, resulting in an entire osteon that is homogenous and isotropic (not direction dependent), i.e., a simple average. Crolet also assumes that collagen fiber and hydroxyapatite are homogeneous, isotropic, and linearly elastic (p. 679, col. 1, para. 3). Crolet further assumes collagen to be perfectly embedded in hydroxyapatite without lacunae and with a rigid interface (p. 679, col. 1, para. 3).¹ Based upon these assumptions, Crolet calculates the elasticity tensor of one sector, i.e., one lamella, and expands this homogenized estimation to characterize behavior of all lamellar sectors (pp. 679-680). Crolet also uses assumed homogeneous properties to simulate groups of osteons which are not homogeneous (pp. 680-681). This is a poor model because homogeneous groups of osteons cover only a small region of an actual bone. Crolet further does not assemble groups of osteons into a model of macroscopic properties of an entire bone, e.g., a femur. Accordingly, Crolet disregards the dynamic hierarchy of bone structure because it makes unrealistic estimates of structure (e.g., "averaging" osteon structure) and viscoelastic properties (e.g., assuming linear elasticity). The lack of recognition and use of the hierarchical structural and viscoelastic properties limit the Crolet model and is quite different from the invention as claimed.

For example, each osteon in the model of the instant application is built up of regions that are not homogenous and isotropic because the regions include microstructure and ultrastructure correlated with viscoelastic properties of the components of the bone structure. By accounting for the hierarchical bone structure and the viscoelastic properties, the model of the instant application models each osteon non-homogenously, not treating each osteon as uniform. The instant application further assembles groups of osteons into a model of the macroscopic properties of an entire bone. As a result, the focus of the instant application is different than Crolet and results in a

¹ Later publications show that Crolet's assumptions are incorrect. See Ascenzi, M-G., "A first-estimation of prestress in so-called circularly fibered osteonic lamellae," 32 J. Biomechanics 935-942, at 941 (1999) (Tab 2) ("Ascenzi 1999"). Ascenzi 1999 discloses a model of a "bright" lamella

In view of the above remarks, applicants believe the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: November 15, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

By

Robert Schaffer

Registration No.: 31,194

DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 5257

New York, New York 10150-5257

(212) 527-7700

(212) 753-6237 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant

Attachments